

RIGHT DIVISION IN GROUPS, DEDEKIND-FROBENIUS GROUP MATRICES, AND WARD QUASIGROUPS

KENNETH W. JOHNSON AND PETR VOJTĚCHOVSKÝ

ABSTRACT. The variety of quasigroups satisfying the identity $(xy)(zy) = xz$ mirrors the variety of groups, and offers a new look at groups and their multiplication tables. Such quasigroups are constructed from a group using right division instead of multiplication. Their multiplication tables consist of circulant blocks which have additional symmetries and have a concise presentation.

These tables are a reincarnation of the group matrices which Frobenius used to give the first account of group representation theory. Our results imply that every group matrix may be written as a block circulant matrix and that this result leads to partial diagonalization of group matrices, which are present in modern applied mathematics. We also discuss right division in loops with the antiautomorphic inverse property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Several papers have characterized groups using the operation of right division $x \cdot y^{-1}$ instead of the multiplication $x \cdot y$. However, it is not clear how much is gained within group theory per se by such a change in perspective. The aim of this paper is to suggest that there are some advantages, connected to the added symmetry of the multiplication table.

Right division was used already by Frobenius. In his first papers on group representation theory [8], [9], the essential objects were the group matrix and its determinant, the group determinant. For a finite group $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, its *group matrix* X_G is defined to be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) th entry is $x_{g_i g_j^{-1}}$, where $\{x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_n}\}$ is a set of commuting variables. Usually the term group matrix is also applied to any matrix obtained from a group matrix by assigning values in a ring to the variables. We refer to [13], [14] and [12] for information on how Frobenius' ideas have stimulated recent research. Frobenius relied heavily on the symmetrical nature of the group matrix in his proofs of the basic results of representation theory.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20N05 Secondary: 20C15, 20C40.

Key words and phrases. group matrix, group determinant, quasigroup, loop, character theory, group multiplication table, Cayley table, Moufang loop, right division.

The second author partially supported by Grant Agency of Charles University, grant number 269/2001/B-MAT/MFF.

Examples of group matrices were known well before Frobenius, since a *circulant matrix*, i.e., a matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_n & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_2 & c_3 & \cdots & c_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

is a group matrix of the cyclic group C_n of order n . We denote this circulant by $C(c_1, \dots, c_n)$. The efficient diagonalization of circulants is behind the Finite Fourier Transform [1]. We refer to [6] for a thorough account of circulants. Group matrices of arbitrary groups have appeared in probability [7], where they arise as transition matrices for Markov chains. They have also appeared in the theory of tight frames as Gram matrices [21] with connections to wavelets and non-harmonic Fourier series.

We may interpret the matrix X_G as an encoding of the multiplication table of a quasigroup $(Q, *)$ associated with G . (Recall that a *quasigroup* is a set with binary operation $\circ$ such that if in the equation $x \circ y = z$ any two of the elements are known then the third is uniquely determined. For finite quasigroups this is equivalent to the multiplication table being a latin square.) The quasigroup Q has G as its underlying set, and the multiplication $*$ is given by $g * h = g \cdot h^{-1}$. Then $(Q, *)$ clearly satisfies the identity

$$(x * y) * (z * y) = x * z, \tag{1}$$

and conversely if Q is a quasigroup satisfying (1) we can reconstruct a group from Q . Quasigroups $(Q, *)$ satisfying (1) are known as *Ward quasigroups*.

As mentioned above, ways of axiomatizing a group based on the right division operation have appeared in several works, the first apparently being [23]. In Section 2 we list some of these sets of axioms and give concise proofs that several of the various identities which have appeared are in fact equivalent. Our list is not exhaustive—for example [19] contains several more equivalent identities. We also give some basic properties of Ward quasigroups, some of which appear to be new.

We then discuss multiplication tables. We consider a finite group G with a cyclic subgroup S of order m . If the elements of G are ordered in a special way using the left cosets of S , it is a consequence of (1) that the table which is obtained from the corresponding Ward quasigroup Q is a block matrix with $m \times m$ circulant blocks, in which given any pair of rows the product of elements in the same column is constant. This way of presenting a variation on the multiplication table of a group appears to be new. For small groups this makes the description of the multiplication table of Q (and hence the group matrix) very concise. This enables us to prove elementary facts about small groups combinatorially and we also show that a group with a cyclic subgroup of index 2 is completely determined by the first row of the table. We call the permutation represented by the first row of such a table an *inverse pattern*, and we also show that if S is of index 3, Q and hence G is determined by an inverse pattern relative to S and one other entry in the table.

More sophisticated tools have largely replaced multiplication tables of groups. However when associativity is dropped many of these tools are no longer available and often examples are constructed by indicating a multiplication table. A generalization of Ward quasigroups is obtained when the operation $x * y = x \cdot y^{-1}$ is based on a loop $(G, \cdot)$ with the antiautomorphic inverse property. We indicate how symmetrical tables may be constructed for all 6 nonassociative Moufang loops of order at most 16 with the blocks being either circulants or reversed circulants. While we do not pursue this here, there is an indication that new constructions of families of Moufang loops can be obtained.

The implications for group matrices are discussed. We show how the block circulant structure can be used to effect their partial diagonalization combinatorially. This is equivalent to effecting a decomposition of the regular representation into representations induced from 1-dimensional representations of S up to G .

In the final section we indicate directions in which the work may lead.

2. WARD QUASIGROUPS

Ward quasigroups have appeared in several different guises. The concept (not the name) is due to M. Ward [23]. Rabinow [20] discovered Ward quasigroups independently while axiomatizing groups using the right division $x \cdot y^{-1}$ instead of $x \cdot y$. (Actually in [20] he refers to a paper already submitted but we have been unable to discover a published version.) The identity (1) is mentioned for the first time in Furstenberg [10]. The name *Ward quasigroups* was coined in 1978 by Cardoso and da Silva [2]. Chatterjea [3] and Polonijo [17] were the first to show that Ward quasigroups are exactly quasigroups satisfying (1). The Ward quasigroups corresponding to abelian groups, sometimes called *subtractive quasigroups*, are studied in [5], [15] and [24].

The following theorem generalizes [3] and provides the proof for the claims made in the opening paragraphs of [20]. For additional equivalent conditions, see [19].

Theorem 2.1 (Ward Quasigroups). *Let G be a set and $*$ a binary operation on G . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) G is a quasigroup satisfying (1).
- (ii) The operation $*$ satisfies (1) and $a * G = G$ for every $a \in G$ (cf. [10]).
- (iii) The square $a * a = e$ is independent of $a \in G$,

$$(a * b) * c = a * (c * (e * b)) \quad (2)$$

holds for every $a, b, c \in G$, and if $e * a = e * b$ for some $a, b \in G$ then $a = b$ (cf. [23]).

- (iv) The square $a * a = e$ is independent of $a \in G$. Let $a' = a * e$ for $a \in G$. Then $a'' = a$ and

$$(a * b') * c' = a * (b * c')' \quad (3)$$

for every $a, b, c \in G$ (cf. [20]).

- (v) Let $a \cdot b = a * ((b * b) * b)$. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is a group. Its neutral element $e = a * a$ is independent of a , the inverse of $a \in G$ is given by $a^{-1} = e * a$, and $a \cdot b^{-1} = a * b$ holds for every $a, b \in G$.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). All translations are bijections in a quasigroup.

(ii) implies (iii). Given $a, b \in G$, there is $c \in G$ such that $a * c = b$, since $a * G = G$. Then, by (1), $b * b = (a * c) * (a * c) = a * a$ is independent of b , and we call it e .

Note that $a * e = a$ for every $a \in G$, since $a = a * b$ for some $b \in G$, and thus $a * e = (a * b) * (b * b) = a * b = a$, by (1).

Before we deduce (2), we show that $G * a = G$ for any $a \in G$. Let $a, b \in G$. There is $c \in G$ such that $b = a * c$, and, in turn, there is $d \in G$ such that $a = c * d$. By (1), $b = a * c = (a * d) * (c * d) = (a * d) * a$, and thus $b \in G * a$.

We need to show that $(a * b) * c = a * (c * (e * b))$. By the previous paragraph, there exists $d \in G$ such that $c = d * b$. Then $(a * b) * c = (a * b) * (d * b) = a * d = a * (d * e) = a * ((d * b) * (e * b)) = a * (c * (e * b))$.

Finally assume that $e * a = e * b$ for some $a, b \in G$. Then $a * e = (a * a) * (e * a) = (b * b) * (e * b) = b * e$, too. Since $a = a * e = b * e = b$, we are done.

(iii) implies (iv). We proceed similarly to [23]. Note that $e = e * e$ by the uniqueness of e . Hence $e * a = (e * e) * a = e * (a * (e * e)) = e * (a * e)$, where the middle equality follows by (2). Consequently, $a = e * a$, since we are allowed to cancel e on the left. Then $e * a = (e * a) * e = e * (e * (e * a))$ by (2), and therefore $a = e * (e * a) = a''$. Using (2) and $a = a''$ repeatedly we obtain, $(a * b') * c' = (a * (e * b)) * (e * c) = a * ((e * c) * (e * (e * b))) = a * ((e * c) * b) = a * (e * (b * (e * c))) = a * (b * c')$, proving (3).

(iv) implies (v). Define $a \cdot b = a * ((b * b) * b) = a * (e * b) = a * b'$. By (3), $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = (a * b') * c' = a * (b * c')' = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$. We have $e \cdot a = e * a' = a'' = a$, and, by (3) again, $a \cdot e = a * e' = a * (a' * a')' = (a * a'') * a' = (a * a) * a' = e * a' = a'' = a$. Furthermore, $a \cdot a' = a * a'' = a * a = e$ and $a' \cdot a = a' * a' = e$. Therefore $(G, \cdot)$ is a group. As $b = (b^{-1})^{-1}$ in any group, we have $a \cdot b^{-1} = a * (b^{-1})^{-1} = a * b$.

(v) implies (i). Since $a * b = a \cdot b^{-1}$, we have $(a * c) * (b * c) = (a \cdot c^{-1}) \cdot (b \cdot c^{-1}) = a \cdot b^{-1} = a * b$. The equation $a * b = c$ can be written as $a \cdot b^{-1} = c$, and therefore has a unique solution in G anytime two of the three elements $a, b, c \in G$ are given. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Equations (2) and (3) translate to the following respective group identities, using (v): $(a \cdot b^{-1}) \cdot c^{-1} = a \cdot (c \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}$ and $(a \cdot (b^{-1})^{-1}) \cdot (c^{-1})^{-1} = a \cdot ((b \cdot (c^{-1})^{-1})^{-1})^{-1}$. They are therefore convoluted versions of the associative law and properties of $^{-1}$. Also note that Furstenberg, Ward and Rabinow do not assume that the underlying groupoid is a quasigroup. A groupoid satisfying (1) is called a *T-groupoid* in [19]. The identity (1) is often called a *right transitive identity*.

The equations

$$a \cdot b = a * ((b * b) * b), \quad a * b = a \cdot b^{-1}$$

of Theorem 2.1 show how to convert a Ward quasigroup to a group and vice versa. Hence the essence of Ward quasigroups is the replacement of the ordinary group multiplication $a \cdot b$ with the right division $a * b = a \cdot b^{-1}$, as was observed already in [23], [20]. There is a Galois correspondence between the two operations:

Lemma 2.3. Denote by $\text{Wa}(G)$ the Ward quasigroup constructed from the group G , and by $\text{Gr}(Q)$ the group constructed from the Ward quasigroup Q . Then $\text{Gr}(\text{Wa}(G)) = G$ for every group G , and $\text{Wa}(\text{Gr}(Q)) = Q$ for every Ward quasigroup Q .

Proof. Let $*$ be the multiplication in a Ward quasigroup Q , $\cdot$ the multiplication in $\text{Gr}(Q)$, and $\circ$ the multiplication in $\text{Wa}(\text{Gr}(Q))$. Then $x \circ y = x \cdot y^{-1} = x * (y^{-1})^{-1} = x * y$. Similarly for $\text{Gr}(\text{Wa}(G)) = G$. $\square$

Ward quasigroups are therefore in one-to-one correspondence with groups, and can be used to offer new insight into groups. From now on we will use the term Ward quasigroup to describe $\text{Wa}(G)$, where G is a group. Multiplication in G will be written as ab instead of $a \cdot b$.

Following Rabinow's notation, when $(Q, *)$ is a Ward quasigroup with $e = a * a$, let us define the bijection $' : Q \rightarrow Q$ by $a \mapsto a' = e * a$. Note that $(a * b)' = e * (a * b) = e(a * b)^{-1} = (ab^{-1})^{-1} = ba^{-1} = b * a$, and $a'' = a$, by Theorem 2.1. Thus $aa' = a * a'' = e$, and $a^{-1} = a'$ follows.

We list some additional properties of Ward quasigroups.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(Q, *)$ be a Ward quasigroup. Then $\text{Gr}(Q)$ is a commutative group if and only if $'$ is an automorphism of $(Q, *)$. Conversely, the Ward quasigroup $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$ is commutative if and only if G is an elementary abelian 2-group.*

Proof. We have $(a * b)' = ba^{-1}$ and $a^{-1}b = a^{-1} * b^{-1} = a' * b'$. Thus $\text{Gr}(Q)$ is commutative if and only if $'$ is an automorphism of $(Q, *)$.

Conversely, $a * b' = ab$ and $b' * a = b^{-1}a^{-1} = (ab)^{-1}$ show that $\text{Wa}(G)$ is commutative if and only if every element of G is of exponent 2. $\square$

For any quasigroup $(Q, *)$, the *associator* $[x, y, z]$ of $x, y, z \in Q$ is the unique element w such that $(x * (y * z)) * w = (x * y) * z$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(Q, *)$ be a Ward quasigroup. Then $[x, y, z] = z * ((y * z) * y)$. In particular, $[x, y, z]$ is independent of x .*

Proof. Let $(Q, *) = W(G)$ be a Ward quasigroup, and $x, y, z \in Q$. If w is such that $(x * (y * z)) * w = (x * y) * z$ then $x(yz^{-1})^{-1}w^{-1} = xy^{-1}z^{-1}$, or $w = zyz^{-1} = z(yz^{-1}y^{-1})^{-1} = z * ((y * z) * y)$. $\square$

The following consequence of (1) was observed by J. D. Phillips:

Lemma 2.6. *Ward quasigroups satisfy the right semimedial law:*

$$(x * y) * (z * y) = (x * z) * (y * y). \quad (4)$$

We conclude this section with a result concerning the identity (1) and generators of a quasigroup Q . The first part of Lemma 2.7 is due to Polonijo [18]. He calls the elements of $Y(Q)$ *right quasiunits* of Q .

Lemma 2.7. *Let $Q = (Q, *)$ be a quasigroup (not necessarily Ward), and let $Y(Q) = \{y \in Q; (x * y) * (z * y) = x * z \text{ for every } x, z \in Q\}$. If $Y(Q)$ is nonempty, it is a subquasigroup of Q . Consequently, if X is a generating subset of Q such that $X \subseteq Y(Q)$ then Q is a Ward quasigroup.*

Proof. Pick $y_1, y_2 \in Y = Y(Q)$ and $x, z \in Q$. Then there are $x', z' \in Q$ such that $x = x' * y_2$, $z = z' * y_2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (x * (y_1 * y_2)) * (z * (y_1 * y_2)) &= ((x' * y_2) * (y_1 * y_2)) * ((z' * y_2) * (y_1 * y_2)) \\ &= (x' * y_1) * (z' * y_1) = x' * z' = (x' * y_2) * (z' * y_2) = x * z, \end{aligned}$$

and Y is a subquasigroup. The rest follows. $\square$

3. MULTIPLICATION TABLES

In this section, we will restrict our attention to finite Ward quasigroups.

Let $(Q, *) = \text{Wa}(G)$ be a Ward quasigroup of order n , and let S be a cyclic subgroup of G of order m with generator s . Then S is a subquasigroup of Q and the elements of S can be listed as $e, s, s^2, \dots, s^{m-1}$, where the powers are calculated in G .

Let $k = n/m$. Assume that $a_1 = e, a_2, \dots, a_k$ form a set of representatives of the left cosets $\{gS; g \in G\}$ of S in G . Let us construct a multiplication table M of Q as follows: order the elements of the coset $a_i S$ as $a_i, a_i s, \dots, a_i s^{m-1}$. Then order all elements of Q by first using the elements of $a_1 S$, then $a_2 S$, etc. This ordering will be used to label both rows and columns of M . (Thus the set of elements in the (i, j) th block of the table is $a_i S a_j^{-1}$.)

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be the multiplication table of Q as described above. Then*

- (i) $M = (m_{ij})$ consists of k^2 circulant matrices C_{ij} , each of size m ;
- (ii) if we take any pair of rows of M , the product of each two entries in the same column is constant, i.e., $m_{ij} * m_{kj} = m_{il} * m_{kl}$ for every i, j, k, l ;
- (iii) if the j th column of M is labelled by $q \in Q$, then $m_{1j} = q^{-1}$;
- (iv) all the diagonal elements of M are equal to e ;
- (v) the transpose of C_{ij} is $(C_{ji})'$. Here if $A = (a_{i,j})$ is a matrix we use A' to denote the matrix $(a'_{i,j})$

Proof. A circulant of order m is determined by the following property: an entry in the (i, j) th position is equal to the entry in the $(i + 1, j + 1)$ th position, where $i + 1$ and $j + 1$ are reduced modulo m . In the block C_{ij} , if the (k, l) th entry is $x * y$ the $(k + 1, l + 1)$ th entry is $(xs) * (ys) = (x * s') * (y * s') = x * y$, where we again reduce $k + 1$ and $l + 1$ modulo m . Thus every block C_{ij} is a circulant matrix, and we have shown (i).

Assume that the j th column is labelled by q . Then $m_{ij} * m_{kj} = (m_{i1} * q) * (m_{k1} * q) = m_{i1} * m_{k1}$, which shows (ii). Moreover, $m_{1j} = e * q = q' = q^{-1}$, which shows (iii). By Theorem 2.1, $x * x = e$ for every $x \in Q$, and (iv) follows. Finally, $(x * y) = (y * x)'$ implies (v). $\square$

Remark 3.2. If the table for a Ward quasigroup is constructed with any ordering of the elements then condition (ii) is satisfied, and conversely if any quasigroup table satisfies (ii) then the quasigroup is a Ward quasigroup. However with our specific ordering described above to test the table for (ii) it is sufficient to test only pairs of rows which correspond to the first line of any circulant block, i.e. the rows in the im^{th} places for $i = 1, \dots, n/m$.

Example 3.3. Let G be the symmetric group on three elements, and let S be the unique cyclic subgroup of order 3 in G . Let $e = 1, 2, 3$ denote the elements of S . Since every element of $G \setminus S$ is an involution, Proposition 3.1 implies that the

(incomplete) multiplication table M of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$ must be

$$M = \begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc} * & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 1 & & \\ 5 & 5 & 4 & 6 & & 1 & \\ 6 & 6 & 5 & 4 & & & 1 \end{array} .$$

Furthermore, using condition (ii) of Proposition 3.1 for rows 1 and 3 we deduce that $4 * 5 = 1 * 3 = 2$, and the complete table is determined as

$$M = \begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc} * & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} .$$

4. INVERSE PATTERNS

Given a group G of order n , a cyclic subgroup S of order m , a set of representatives $a_1 = e, \dots, a_{n/m}$ of left cosets of S in G , and an order in which the cosets are listed, the permutation defined by the first row of M will be referred to as an *inverse pattern* (cf. Proposition 3.1(iii)).

Every inverse pattern ι is an involution such that $\iota(S) = S$. When S is normal in G then $\iota(aS) = a^{-1}S$ for every coset aS .

Example 4.1. Let h be the permutation $h = (1)(23)(47)(58)(69)$. Note that h is an involution that stabilizes the block $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and interchanges the blocks $\{4, 5, 6\}$, $\{7, 8, 9\}$. It therefore appears to be a candidate for an inverse pattern, of a group with a normal cyclic subgroup of order 3. However, we claim that h is not an inverse pattern of any Ward quasigroup Q with blocks of size 3.

The permutation h forces the following entries in the multiplication table of Q :

$$M = \begin{array}{c|ccc|ccc|ccc} * & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 9 & 7 & 8 & 6 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 8 & 9 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 4 & 6 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 & & & \\ 5 & 5 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 2 & & A & \\ 6 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 & & & \\ \hline 7 & 7 & 9 & 8 & & & & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 8 & 7 & 9 & & B & & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 9 & 9 & 8 & 7 & & & & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array} .$$

The corresponding group $\text{Gr}(Q)$ then satisfies $4 \cdot 8 = 4 * h(8) = 4 * 5 = 2$ and $8 \cdot 4 = 8 * h(4) = 8 * 7 = 3$, which contradicts the fact that every group of order 9 is commutative.

We can also give a purely combinatorial argument. There are three choices for the two unspecified blocks A, B of M . If we assume that $4 * 7 = 7$, we can use rows 3, 4 of M to deduce $5 * 7 = 3 * 4 = 8$, $6 * 7 = 2 * 4 = 9$. Since both blocks are circulants and the transpose of A is $h(B)$, we can fill them up. Similarly when $4 * 7 = 8$ or $4 * 7 = 9$. The three completions of M are

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & 7 & 9 & 8 \\ & 8 & 7 & 9 \\ & 9 & 8 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 6 & 4 & 5 & \\ 5 & 6 & 4 & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} & 8 & 7 & 9 \\ & 9 & 8 & 7 \\ & 7 & 9 & 8 \\ \hline 5 & 6 & 4 & \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \\ 6 & 4 & 5 & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} & 9 & 8 & 7 \\ & 7 & 9 & 8 \\ & 8 & 7 & 9 \\ \hline 6 & 4 & 5 & \\ 5 & 6 & 4 & \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & \end{array}.$$

In the first case, condition (ii) on rows 3 and 4 implies $4 * 8 = 3 * 4$. But $4 * 8 = 9$ and $3 * 4 = 8$. In the second case, again considering rows 3 and 4, $6 * 7 = 3 * 4$. But $6 * 7 = 7$ and again $3 * 4 = 8$. Using the same rows, condition (ii) is also violated in the third case since it implies that $6 * 8 = 3 * 4$, and $6 * 8 = 7$ whereas $3 * 4 = 8$.

We have seen in Examples 3.3 and 4.1 that an inverse pattern can contain a large amount of information about the multiplication table M when the blocks of M are relatively large. We will see later in this section that, not surprisingly, the inverse pattern does not specify M in general. Nevertheless, when $[G : S] = 2$, M is determined:

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a group and S a cyclic subgroup of index 2. Then an inverse pattern of G relative to S specifies the multiplication table M of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$.*

Proof. Assume that M has been constructed as in Proposition 3.1 and that the rows and columns of M are labelled $1, \dots, n = 2m$. M consists of four blocks $C_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$. Given the inverse pattern, three of these blocks are obviously determined, namely C_{11} , C_{12} and C_{21} . Every column of C_{12} contains all entries $m + 1, \dots, n$. Using (ii) we may determine the product of any pair i, j with $i, j \in \{m + 1, \dots, 2m\}$ since the product of the corresponding pair in the first column of M is already known, and thus the C_{22} block is also determined. $\square$

If S is a normal cyclic subgroup of index 3 the inverse pattern almost specifies the group.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that S is a cyclic normal subgroup of index 3 in G . Then the multiplication table of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$ is specified by the inverse pattern and by one entry in the $(2, 3)$ -block, or in the $(3, 2)$ -block.*

Proof. The blocks $C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{21}$ and C_{31} are specified by the inverse pattern and by the condition (v) of Proposition 3.1. Since the elements of the two left cosets different from S are interchanged by $'$, the diagonal blocks C_{22} and C_{33} are also specified. Once any entry in C_{23} or C_{32} is known, both blocks can be filled, as indicated in Example 4.1. $\square$

The following lemma shows that for any two abelian groups of odd order n with a cyclic subgroup S of order m the inverse patterns relative to S can be made to coincide. Hence the inverse pattern is far from determining the multiplication table.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be an abelian group of odd order n , and let S be a cyclic subgroup of G of order m . The elements of G can then be ordered so that the inverse pattern of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$ is*

$$1, m, \dots, 2 \mid 2m+1, 3m, \dots, 2m+2 \mid m+1, 2m, \dots, m+2 \mid \dots \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let h be the map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Then $h(S) = S$ and $h(aS) \neq aS$ for $aS \neq S$, otherwise the odd-order group G/S contains an involution. We have $h(h(aS)) = aS$, and the coset aS can therefore be coupled with $h(aS)$. We are free to choose a representative of each coset. Assume that if a is the representative of aS then a^{-1} is the representative of $h(aS)$. Then the elements of aS are ordered as $a, as, as^2, \dots, as^{m-1}$, where s is some fixed generator of S . The elements of $h(aS)$ then must be ordered as $a^{-1}, a^{-1}s, \dots, a^{-1}s^{m-1}$. Since $h(as^k) = a^{-1}s^{m-k}$, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a group of odd order n , and let S be a cyclic central subgroup of G of order m . The elements of G can be ordered so that the inverse pattern of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$ is (5).*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 4.4 goes through word for word. $\square$

The following lemma indicates how two inverse patterns of a group G with respect to a normal cyclic subgroup S must be related.

Lemma 4.6. *Let G be a group, S normal subgroup of G , and ι an inverse pattern for G with respect to S . Then any other inverse pattern for G with respect to S can be obtained from ι by changing the order in which the cosets aS are listed, and by applying a simultaneous cyclic shift to each pair of cosets $aS, a^{-1}S$.*

Proof. Since the left and right cosets of S coincide, we can assume that they are listed in the same order. We examine the (possibly equal) cosets $aS, a^{-1}S$. Assume that a is the representative of aS and b is the representative of $a^{-1}S$ defined by ι . Then there is a permutation π on $\{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that $(as^k)^{-1} = bs^{\pi(k)}$. Let $c = as^k \in aS$ be another representative of aS . Then $(cs^l)^{-1} = (as^{k+l})^{-1} = bs^{\pi(k+l)}$, where we calculate the exponents modulo m . $\square$

Example 4.7. Let $G = \langle a, b : a^7 = b^3 = e, b^{-1}ab = a^2 \rangle$ be the Frobenius group of order 21. If we denote the unique cyclic subgroup of order 7 in G by S , and choose ba, b^2a as representatives of the remaining two left cosets, we calculate that an inverse pattern with respect to S is

$$1 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \mid 15 \ 18 \ 21 \ 17 \ 20 \ 16 \ 19 \mid 8 \ 13 \ 11 \ 9 \ 14 \ 12 \ 10 .$$

We use this pattern as the first row of the multiplication table M of $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to specify one more entry to complete M . By calculation $8 * 15 = 15$, and then the table is determined as

$$\begin{array}{lll} C(1, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2) & C(15, 18, 21, 17, 20, 16, 19) & C(8, 13, 11, 9, 14, 12, 10) \\ C(8, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9) & C(1, 4, 7, 3, 6, 2, 5) & C(15, 20, 18, 16, 21, 19, 17) . \\ C(15, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16) & C(8, 11, 14, 10, 13, 9, 12) & C(1, 6, 4, 2, 7, 5, 3) \end{array}$$

5. GENERALIZED WARD QUASIGROUPS ASSOCIATED WITH LOOPS

In this section, we briefly discuss the situation when we start with a non-associative loop instead of a group, and we will see that under special circumstances some

of the symmetry of the multiplication table of the corresponding quasigroup is retained.

A loop G with neutral element e has *two-sided inverses* if for any $x \in G$ there is $x^{-1} \in G$ such that $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = e$. A loop with two-sided inverses has the *antiautomorphic inverse property* if $(xy)^{-1} = y^{-1}x^{-1}$. A loop is *diassociative* if any two elements generate a group. A diassociative loop clearly has the anti-automorphic inverse property. A *Moufang loop* is a loop satisfying the identity $x(y(xz)) = ((xy)x)z$. It is well known that Moufang loops are diassociative (cf. [16]).

Let G be a loop with the antiautomorphic inverse property. In a similar fashion to that above we may associate a “generalized Ward quasigroup” $(Q, *)$ to G by $x * y = xy^{-1}$. In general, the left cosets of a subloop S need not partition G , and even if they do, the set $(a_i S)(a_j S)^{-1}$ may contain more than $|S|$ elements. In order to avoid these difficulties we assume that S is a normal cyclic subgroup of G . The resulting multiplication table of $(Q, *)$ satisfies (iii), (iv) and (v) of Proposition 3.1 (exercise), but if G is not associative (ii)(but not necessarily (i)) must fail. (When it is assumed that G is only a loop, and the multiplication is defined by $x * y = xy_\rho$, where $yy_\rho = e$, then $m_{1j} = q_\rho$, condition (iv) holds, but (v) does not necessarily hold.)

Small Moufang loops were examined and give rise to tables which have much of the symmetry of those for groups. If we consider the table for the smallest non-associative Moufang loop M_{12} of order 12 with respect to the unique subgroup of order 3 we obtain the following.

Given symbols $c_1, \dots, c_n$, denote by $R(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ the *reversed circulant matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_n & c_{n-1} & \cdots & c_1 \\ c_{n-1} & c_{n-2} & \cdots & c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_1 & c_n & \cdots & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that any Latin square of order $n \leq 3$ is a circulant or reversed circulant. The table of $(Q, *)$ is

$C(1, 3, 2)$	$C(4, 5, 6)$	$C(7, 8, 9)$	$C(10, 11, 12)$
$C(4, 6, 5)$	$C(1, 2, 3)$	$R(10, 12, 11)$	$R(7, 9, 8)$
$C(7, 9, 8)$	$R(10, 12, 11)$	$C(1, 2, 3)$	$R(4, 6, 5)$
$C(10, 12, 11)$	$R(7, 9, 8)$	$R(4, 6, 5)$	$C(1, 2, 3)$

Note that the first row and column (of blocks) and the blocks on the diagonal are determined by diassociativity. The symmetrical nature of the remaining blocks is to be remarked. The table obviously violates condition (i) of 3.1 and it easy to see that (ii) also fails. Also note that the inverse pattern of $(Q, *)$ is impossible for groups, as there is no group of order 12 with 9 involutions.

There are 5 nonassociative Moufang loops of order 16 and each of them possesses a cyclic normal subloop of order 4 (cf. [11]). We have checked that the multiplication tables of the associated quasigroups can be all written in such a way that every 4×4 block in the first row, first column, and along the main diagonal is a circulant, while every other block is a reversed circulant. It is probably not typical that larger Moufang loops which are extensions of cyclic groups have tables of this type. For example a Moufang loop 32 with a cyclic normal subloop

of order 8 gives rise to off diagonal blocks which are neither circulants nor reverse circulants. We remark that Chein's construction $M_{2n}(G, 2)$ [4], produces many of the small Moufang loops, and circulants of reversed circulants may be present because the dihedral group of order $2m$ and the generalised quaternion group of order 2^m have ordinary multiplication tables which (with respect to suitable ordering) consist of blocks which are either circulants or reverse circulants. Many Moufang loops of small order contain dihedral or generalized quaternion groups as subloops of index 2 (cf. [4], [11]).

We leave this section with an example:

Example 5.1. Let $(Q, *)$ be a quasigroup whose multiplication table is

$$\begin{array}{cc} C(1, 3, 2) & C(4, 5, 6) \\ C(4, 6, 5) & C(1, 3, 2) \end{array}$$

Then the loop G whose multiplication table is obtained from that above by permuting columns 2 and 3 is the smallest nonassociative loop such that the multiplication table for its generalized Ward quasigroup satisfies all the conditions of 3.1 except condition (ii).

6. THE GROUP MATRIX, PARTIAL DIAGONALIZATION AND INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

If G is a finite group with associated Ward quasigroup Q , the group matrix X_G may be obtained from the multiplication table of Q by replacing each element g by the variable x_g . From the results of Section 3 it follows that for every cyclic subgroup S of G with a compatible ordering, X_G is a block matrix $X_G = (B_{ij})_{r \times r}$, where each B_{ij} is a circulant of the form $C(x_{g_{k_1}}, \dots, x_{g_{k_m}})$ where $g_{k_1}, \dots, g_{k_m}$ are elements of G . We denote this special group matrix by $D_G(S)$, or D_G if no ambiguity occurs.

Example 6.1. From Example 3.3, the group matrix $D_G(C_3)$, $G = S_3$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} C(x_1, x_3, x_2) & C(x_4, x_5, x_6) \\ C(x_4, x_6, x_5) & C(x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.2. For each circulant $C = C(a_1, \dots, a_m)$, if

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \dots & \rho^{m-1} \\ 1 & \rho^2 & \rho^4 & \dots & \rho^{2(m-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \rho^{m-1} & \rho^{2(m-1)} & \dots & \rho^{(m-1)^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\rho = e^{2\pi i/n}$, then $P^{-1}CP$ is the diagonal matrix

$$\text{diag}(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m, a_1 + \rho a_2 + \dots + \rho^{m-1} a_m, \dots, a_1 + \rho^{m-1} a_2 + \dots + \rho^{(m-1)^2} a_m).$$

Proof. This is by checking directly that each column of P is an eigenvector of $C(a_1, \dots, a_m)$. $\square$

We denote by $\Lambda(a_1, \dots, a_m)$ the diagonal matrix $PC^{-1}P$ in Lemma 6.2, and let H be the $n \times n$ block diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(P, P, \dots, P)$ (r blocks).

Proposition 6.3. *If G is any group of order $n = mr$ with cyclic subgroup S of order m then*

$$H^{-1}D_GH = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{11} & \dots & \Lambda_{ir} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Lambda_{r1} & \dots & \Lambda_{rr} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda(x_{g_{k_1}}, \dots, x_{g_{k_m}})$ for all i, j and where $g_{k_1}, \dots, g_{k_m}$ are elements of G .

Proof. Each $m \times m$ block in the product $H^{-1}D_GH$ is $P^{-1}B_{ij}P$ and the result follows directly. $\square$

We continue the discussion of the example of $G = S_3$. Here

$$H^{-1}D_GH = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda(x_1, x_3, x_2) & \Lambda(x_4, x_5, x_6) \\ \Lambda(x_4, x_5, x_6) & \Lambda(x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{bmatrix}.$$

If σ is the permutation $(2, 3, 5, 4)$ it is easily seen that permuting the rows and columns of $H^{-1}DH$ by σ is equivalent to conjugating by a permutation matrix R , and the matrix we obtain is the block diagonal matrix with blocks

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & x_4 + x_5 + x_6 \\ x_4 + x_5 + x_6 & x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + \omega x_2 + \omega^2 x_3 & x_4 + \omega x_5 + \omega^2 x_6 \\ x_4 + \omega^2 x_5 + \omega x_6 & x_1 + \omega^2 x_2 + \omega x_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_3 &= \overline{D_2}. \end{aligned}$$

To any such block there is a representation of G . The explicit matrix representing an element g of G is obtained by inserting $x_g = 1$, $x_h = 0$ in the appropriate block. The representation is irreducible if and only if the determinant of the block is irreducible as a polynomial in $\{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$. It is easily seen that $\det(D_1) = u^2 - v^2 = (u + v)(u - v)$ where $u = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$, $v = x_4 + x_5 + x_6$, which actually confirms that D_1 corresponds to the direct sum of the trivial representation and the sign representation of G . Again by Frobenius' theory since $\det(D_2) = \det(D_3)$ the corresponding representations are equivalent. We have rediscovered that there are three irreducible representations of G , corresponding to the well-known character table

1	$\{2, 3\}$	$\{4, 5, 6\}$
1	1	1
1	1	-1
2	-1	0

The above may be easily extended to any dihedral or generalized quaternion group.

Consider again the Frobenius group G of order 21. Using the multiplication table of the Ward quasigroup $Q = \text{Wa}(G)$, we can see that $H^{-1}D_GH = K$ is obtained from the matrix M described in Section 3 by replacing each circulant $C(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ by $\Lambda(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m})$.

Let π be the permutation

$$\pi = (1)(2, 4, 10, 8)(3, 7, 19, 15)(5, 13, 17, 9)(6, 16)(11)(12, 14, 20, 18)(21),$$

and R_π the permutation matrix such that $(R_\pi)_{ij}$ equals 1 if $\pi(i) = j$, and 0 otherwise. Then $R_\pi^{-1}KR_\pi$ is a block diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(B_1, \dots, B_7)$, where each B_{s+1} , $s = 0, \dots, 6$ is a 3×3 block of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_s(1, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2) & \mu_s(15, 18, 21, 17, 20, 16, 19) & \mu_s(8, 13, 11, 9, 14, 12, 10) \\ \mu_s(8, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9) & \mu_s(1, 4, 7, 3, 6, 2, 5) & \mu_s(15, 20, 18, 16, 21, 19, 17) \\ \mu_s(15, 21, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16) & \mu_s(8, 11, 14, 10, 13, 9, 12) & \mu_s(1, 6, 4, 2, 7, 5, 3) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_s(i_1, i_7, i_6, \dots, i_2) = x_{i_1} + \rho^s x_{i_2} + \rho^{2s} x_{i_3} + \rho^{3s} x_{i_4} + \rho^{4s} x_{i_5} + \rho^{5s} x_{i_6} + \rho^{6s} x_{i_7},$$

and $\rho = e^{(2\pi i)/7}$. In fact, this decomposition enables us to decompose the regular representation of F_{21} into 7 representations of degree 3, which each correspond to B_i , $i = 1, \dots, 7$. The matrix representing the element g is obtained by replacing x_g by 1 and x_h by 0, for $h \neq g$, in B_i . For instance, the matrices which represent the generators 2 and 8 are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho^s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho^{2s} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho^{4s} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

We use this information to obtain the character table of F_{21} . The block B_1 corresponds to the representation

$$2 \mapsto I_3, \quad 8 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = T,$$

and T is similar to a diagonal matrix, the eigenvalues of T being 1, ω , ω^2 (where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$), and it splits into three linear factors corresponding to the three linear representations of the group. Each of the 6 other blocks corresponds to one of the is two distinct irreducible representations of degree 3. The character table is

1	{2, 3, 5}	{4, 6, 7}	8 - 14	15 - 21
1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	ω	ω^2
1	1	1	ω^2	ω
3	α	β	0	0
3	β	α	0	0

where $\alpha = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ and $\beta = (-1 - \sqrt{-3})/2$. Analogously, for an arbitrary group G , we obtain:

Proposition 6.4. *If G is an arbitrary group G of order $n = mr$ with a cyclic subgroup S of order m , and D_G is the group matrix corresponding to Q_G , then there exists a permutation matrix R_π such that $R_\pi^{-1}H^{-1}D_GHR_\pi$ is a block diagonal matrix with m blocks, each of size $r \times r$. This effectively decomposes the regular representation of G into a direct sum of m representations, each obtained by inducing an irreducible representation of S up to G .*

We leave the proof to the reader. As a practical tool for group representation theory the technique above would appear to be effective only if the group has a cyclic subgroup of small index. Nevertheless, as a tool to partially diagonalize the specializations of group matrices which occur in Fourier analysis on finite groups

or in the theory of tight frames, it may have a wider application. We refer to [7] and [21] for information on how group matrices appear in these contexts.

7. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

Again we let G be a group of order n with a cyclic subgroup S of order m .

1) It may be seen that the representation of G which is induced from the trivial representation of S depends only on the sets of elements $g_{k_1}, \dots, g_{k_m}$ corresponding to the blocks $C(x_{g_{k_1}}, \dots, x_{g_{k_m}})$. It may be interesting to relate these blocks to the theorem of Artin on expressing any representation of G in terms of representations induced from cyclic subgroups.

2) Given a variety of groups the corresponding quasigroups must be characterized by various identities. It may be interesting to examine these.

3) Is there a connection between coset enumeration with respect to S and our work here?

4) For an arbitrary group, is it possible to determine how much extra information in addition to an inverse pattern with respect to a cyclic subgroup determines the group?

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Michael Kinyon for providing us with references to the early papers on Ward quasigroups.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Auslander and P. Tolmieri, *Is computing with finite Fourier transforms pure or applied mathematics?*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), 847–897.
- [2] J. M. Cardoso and C. P. da Silva, *On Ward quasigroups*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. “Al. I. Cuza” Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.) **24** (1978), no. 2, 231–233.
- [3] S. K. Chatterjea, *On Ward quasigroups*, Pure Math. Manuscript **6** (1987), 31–34.
- [4] O. Chein, *Moufang Loops of Small Order*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Volume **13**, Issue 1, Number **197** (1978).
- [5] C. P. da Silva, *On a theorem of Lagrange for Ward quasigroups* (Portuguese), Rev. Colombiana Ma. **12** (1978), no. 3-4, 91–96.
- [6] P. J. Davis, *Circulant Matrices*, Wiley, 1979.
- [7] P. Diaconis, *Group Representations in Probability and Statistics*, Lecture Notes, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. **11**, Hayward, Ca.
- [8] G. Frobenius, *Über Gruppencharaktere*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1896), 985–1021. (Gesammelte Abhandlungen, (Springer-Verlag 1968), 1–37).
- [9] G. Frobenius, *Über die Primfactoren der Gruppendeterminante*, Sitzungsber. Preuss Akad. Wiss Berlin (1896), 1343–1382. (Gesammelte Abhandlungen, (Springer-Verlag 1968), 38–77).
- [10] H. Furstenberg, *The inverse operation in groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **6**, no. 6 (Dec., 1955), 991–997.
- [11] Edgar G. Goodaire, Sean May, Maitreyi Raman, *The Moufang Loops of Order less than 64*, Nova Science Publishers, 1999.
- [12] H.-J. Hoehnke and K. W. Johnson, *The 3-characters are sufficient for the group determinant*, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Algebra, *Contemporary Mathematics* **184**(1995), 193–206.
- [13] K. W. Johnson, *On the group determinant*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **109** (1991), 299–311.

- [14] K. W. Johnson, *The Dedekind-Frobenius group determinant, new life in an old method*, Proceedings, Groups St Andrews 97 in Bath, II, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **261** (1999), 417-428.
- [15] J. Morgado, *Definição de quasigrupo subtrativo por um único axioma*, Gaz. Mat. (Lisboa) **92-93** (1963), 17-18.
- [16] H. O. Pflugfelder, *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [17] M. Polonijo, *A note on Ward quasigroups*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Sect. I a Mat. (N.S.) **32** (1986), no. 2, 5-10.
- [18] M. Polonijo, *Quasiunits in a quasigroup*, Rad Jugoslav. Akad. Znan. Umjet. No. **450** (1990), 117-121.
- [19] M. Polonijo, *Transitive groupoids*, Portugaliae Mathematica **50** (1993), no. 1, 63-74.
- [20] D. G. Rabinow, *Independent sets of postulates for abelian groups and fields in terms of the inverse operations*, American Journal of Mathematics **59**, no. 1 (Jan., 1937), 211-224.
- [21] R. Reams, S. Waldron, *Isometric tight frames*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **9** (2002), 122-128.
- [22] P. Vojtěchovský, *The smallest Moufang loop revisited*, Results in Mathematics **44** (2003), 189-193.
- [23] M. Ward, *Postulates for the inverse operations in a group*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **32**, no. 3 (Jul., 1930), 520-526.
- [24] J. V. Whittaker, *On the postulates defining a group*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 636-640.

PENN STATE ABINGTON, 1600 WOODLAND RD, ABINGTON, PA 19001, USA
E-mail address: kwj1@psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DENVER, 2360 S GAYLORD ST, DENVER,
 CO 80208, USA
E-mail address: petr@math.du.edu